

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/716,814	•	11/19/2003	Phong T. Nguyen	N1161-00025	7199	
8933	7590	01/25/2006		EXAMINER		
DUANE M		, LLP	AKANBI, ISIAKA O			
IP DEPART 30 SOUTH		REET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PHILADEL	PHIA, P	A 19103-4196		2877		
				DATE MAILED: 01/25/2000	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				Н			
-		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/716,814	NGUYEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Isiaka O. Akanbi	2877				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	••			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR in SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per une to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by start reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE COMMUNICATION IN T	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communicated (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19	9 November 2003.					
2a)[☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.					
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application	ion.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	frawn from consideration.					
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-6, 9-16 and 19-25</u> is/are rejected	<b>i</b> .					
	Claim(s) 7,8,17,18 and 26-28 is/are objected						
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10)🖾	The drawing(s) filed on 19 November 2003 i	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)[	objected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	·	= · · ·       =				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152	2.			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore  All b) Some * c) None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume		A 1:				
	<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority docume</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the p</li></ul>						
	application from the International Bur	·	Treceived in this National Stage				
* (	See the attached detailed Office action for a	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	t received.				
			. ,				
Attachmer	nt(s)						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ er No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/716,814

Art Unit: 2877

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Drawings**

The examiner approves the drawings filed 19 November 2003.

# Claim Objections

The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

Misnumbered claims 25-27 has been renumbered 25-28.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6, 9-16 and 19-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by over Meada (6,481,003 B1).

As regard to claim 1, Meada discloses a method of calibrating a positioning stage comprising placing a substrate on the positioning stage, the substrate having a contrast film above a portion thereof, with at least one pattern at a predetermined location above the substrate, corresponding to a predetermined location on the positioning stage if the positioning stage has zero offset from a registration position, applying a beam to a position where the pattern on the substrate would be located if the positioning stage has zero offset, measuring at least one of the group consisting of reflected, transmitted and scattered portions of the beam

Application/Control Number: 10/716,814

Art Unit: 2877

(col. 5, line 30-38)(col. 6, line 54-61) and detecting whether the positioning stage has a non-zero offset based on the measured portion of the beam (col. 14, line 60-col. 15, line 1-10).

As to claims 2 and 13, according to claims 1 and 12, Meada discloses wherein the wherein the measured portion of the beam has a first frequency distribution if the positioning stage has a zero offset, and a second frequency distribution if the positioning stage has a non-zero offset (col. 14, line 60-col. 15, line 1-10).

As to claim 3, Meada discloses a method that further comprising moving the positioning stage if an offset is detected, repeating steps until no offset is detected and determining a magnitude and direction of the offset of the positioning stage based on a total distance and direction the positioning stage is moved (col. 11, line 41-55)(col. 17, line 5-21).

As to claims 4 and 14, Meada discloses wherein the beam is one of the group consisting of a Microwave, Infrared, Visible, UV, X-ray, or Electron beam (col. 30, line 38-46).

As to claims 5, 15 and 24, Meada discloses wherein the substrate is a semiconductor wafer, and the contrast film comprises at least one of the group consisting of photoresist, metal, oxide, and nitride (col. 1, line 32-41).

As to claims 6, 16 and 25, Meada discloses wherein the substrate is an etch modified substrate by superposing on a wafer spread with photosensitive material and serving as the exposure substrate (col. 1, line 32-35).

As to claims 9 and 19, Meada discloses wherein the substrate includes a second pattern disposed at a different angular position on the substrate from the first pattern (fig. 3), the method further comprising determining a translation vector separating the first and second patterns and detecting an angular offset of the positioning stage, based on the translation vector (fig. 5, SA 32 and SA 38)(col. 12, line 51-col. 13, line 1-65).

As to claim 10, Meada discloses wherein the substrate is a monitor wafer, the method further comprising before step (a), the steps of depositing the contrast film on a bare semiconductor wafer and etching the pattern in the contrast film, to form the monitor wafer (fig. 5, SA 10)(col. 1, line 32-36).

As to claims 11 and 20, Meada discloses wherein the pattern includes a plurality of rectangles arranged around a perimeter of the substrate (fig. 3 and fig. 4).

Regarding claim 12, Meada discloses a system for calibrating a positioning stage comprising a substrate adapted to be placed on the positioning stage, the substrate having a

Application/Control Number: 10/716,814 Page 4

Art Unit: 2877

contrast film above a portion thereof, with at least one pattern at a predetermined location above the substrate, corresponding to a predetermined location on the positioning stage if the positioning stage has zero offset from a registration position (col. 5, line 30-38)(col. 6, line 54-61), a beam source (1/16) that applies a beam to a position where the pattern on the substrate would be located if the positioning stage has zero offset, a sensor (12) for measuring at least one of the group consisting of reflected, transmitted and scattered portions of the beam and means for detecting whether the positioning stage has a non-zero offset based on the measured portion of the beam (fig. 1)(col. 6, line 10-61)(col. 14, line 47-col. 15, line 1-9).

As regard to claim 21, Meada discloses a monitor wafer comprising a semiconductor substrate and a contrast film above the substrate, the contrast film including a plurality of positive or negative patterns of geometrical objects distributed at a plurality of respectively different angles with respect to a reference location on the substrate (col. 1, line 32-42).

As regard to claim 22, Meada discloses wherein the plurality of geometrical objects includes four rectangles spaced 90 degrees apart (fig. 3).

As regard to claim 23, Meada discloses wherein the plurality of rectangles are located proximate to a circumference of the monitor wafer (fig. 3).

### Additional Prior Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The references listed in the attached form PTO-892 teach of other prior art method of calibrating a positioning stage that may anticipate or obviate the claims of the applicant's invention.

#### Conclusion

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7, 8, 17, 18, 26, 27 and 28 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2877

As to claims 7 and 17, the prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious a second film on at least a portion of the first film. Claim 8 and 18 allowable by virtue of their dependency on claims 7 and 17.

As to claims 26, the prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious the plurality of geometrical objects includes a plurality of first rectangular contrast film portions, and the monitor wafer further includes a plurality of second rectangular contrast film portions on one or more of the first rectangular contrast film portions. Claims 27 and 28 are allowable by virtue of their dependency on claim 26.

## Fax/Telephone Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Isiaka Akanbi whose telephone number is (571) 272-8658. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory J. Toatley Jr. can be reached on (571) 272-2800 ext. 77. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Isiaka Akanbi January 17, 2006